

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

SECOM-D-760

х

17 December 1979

25X1A		5X1 X1A
25X1A 25X1A	Director of Central Intelligence 1. O/SA/DCI/CI, SECOM Statt DCI Resource 25)	X1A X1A X1A
	discuss measures to secure adequate funding for construction security for the new U. S. Embassy in Moscow said the 25% stated unfunded Moscow security requirements in his opinion do not fall within the NFIP program and should not be funded through the NFIP. It was pointed out that this program is a proper Counter Intelligence function although under normal	X1A X1A
	that DCI agreement to fund them could set a precedent under which the Community might be expected to pay for Embassy security in other areas. He advised that the DCI Reserve	X1A
25X1A	agreed to suggestion that he arrange an early meeting with State officials to see if they were agreeable to including these requirements in the overall package covered by the Foreign	
	2	5X1

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000200130009-8

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 CIA-RDP82M00591R000200130009-8

•		
å	Building Fund managed by State. The results of such meeting could be cited by the DCI in any letter he sent to OMB adivisin what he had done and learned in response to requests arising from August and September meetings of the SCC/CI/Working Group.	g 25X ′
25X1A		25X1 <i>E</i> 25X1 <i>E</i> 25X
	3. State advised that FBO normally funds procurements that are incorporated into the building such as safety features and public access control systems. State security normally provides funding for site security, security research and development, secure conference rooms and other security needs. Gilliam advised that State had provided for a certain level of funding which would provide for security needs covered in the original Moscow Security Plan which the DCI and the NSC/SCC/CI/Working Group found unsatisfactory and which the SCC/CI/Working Group requested be upgraded. He advised that State does not have sufficient funds to cover the security requirements in the upgraded Moscow Security Plan. O'Brien advised that he could not fund the additional security requirements with currently available funds.	25X ²
	4. It was pointed out by during the discussion as to how funding should be handled for the additional security measures requested by the SCC/CI Working Group, that the additional security measures would benefit all intelligence agencies with representatives in Moscow. No decision was made whether any additional funding obtained should be channeled through State or the NFIP; however, both options were considered.	25X1 <i>A</i>
	operons were constacted.	25X ²
		25X1 <i>A</i>
25X1A	cc:	
25X1A	Distribution: 1 -	
25X1A	SECOM/ (12/17/79)	